ENVIRONMENTAL DECISIONS IN THE CONTEXT OF WAR: BOMBING ISIL'S OIL

DAVID HARARY | UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

NOVEMBER | 2016



ENVIRONMENTAL DECISIONS IN THE CONTEXT OF WAR: BOMBING ISIL'S OIL



DAVID HARARY | UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

NOVEMBER | 2016



About CDS

The Center for Development and Strategy, Ltd. (CDS) was founded in May of 2014 as a non-profit think tank focused on development, sustainability, and security issues throughout the globe. CDS's goal is to provide millennials with an academic voice on pressing issues in society. Researchers think, collaborate, and promote work being done in communities that help create new approaches to development policy and business strategy. In this way, CDS helps facilitate economic and political discussions and debate among young professionals, while also providing academia with an influx of creativity and fresh ideas.

CDS is an incorporated Not-for-Profit headquartered in Buffalo, New York, U.S. The Center is composed of volunteer staff members, four official advisors, and registered members.

CDS does not take specific policy positions; accordingly, all views expressed herein should be understood to be solely of the author(s).

© 2016 by the Center for Development and Strategy, Ltd.

All rights reserved.

Center for Development and Strategy, Ltd. P.O. Box 219 2655 Millersport Hwy. Getzville, New York 14068

www.thinkcds.org



TABLE OF CONTENTS 1.0 Abstract...4 2.0 Introduction...5 3.0 ISIL's Damage...7 4.0 ISII 's Oil Resources...11 5.0 Aerial Attack Impacts...13 6.0 Analysis...18 7.0 Recommendations...20



1.0 Abstract

This paper evaluates the ethical dilemma coalition forces had to face when deciding to target and take out ISIL's primary source of revenue – oil. By gathering the impacts ISIL has had on the globe as well as the impacts aerial attacks could have on the MENA region; this paper was able to create a decision matrix that quantitatively weighs the options decision-makers had to limit further harm done on the region's society, environment, and economy. This paper serves as a baseline tool by which policymakers can quickly process the plusses and minuses of initiating attacks. Future research should investigate further into the values associated with ISIL's destruction.

Keywords: Oil, environment, economy, Islamic State

Published on November 16, 2016



2.0 Introduction

Since the United States withdrawal from Iraq in 2011, the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) has grown substantially. The widely designated terrorist organization garnered significant notoriety in 2014 after having committed many war crimes, human rights abuses, and children's rights violations. To counter this, a number of international military coalitions have been formed. In December of 2014, leaders from 59 countries met at NATO headquarters in Brussels, Belgium to formulate a strategy that would defeat ISIL.¹ One of the core tenants of this strategy was to cut off the group's sources of financing and funding.

Mission Objectives

In coordination with its coalition partners, the U.S. intelligence community (IC) gathered key information on the oil and gas reserves ISIL was in control of. Control of these reserves has been a primary source of revenue for the terrorist organization. However, there have been reports that decision-makers had been reluctant to the targeting of these reserves, as it could pose significant environmental risks on communities. Additionally, bombing these targets could induce long-term damage to

¹ Joint Statement Issued by Partners at the Counter-ISIL Coalition Ministerial Meeting. United States Department of State, 3 December 2014. Retrieved 13 November 2016.



the Middle East and North African (MENA) region's economic prosperity following ISIL's prospective defeat. This paper will review the ethical dilemma U.S. intelligence officials were faced when deciding to target these oil fields. It will provide an overview of the hazards associated with bombing ISIL's oil and gas reserves with respect to the destruction ISIL has had on the world. An analysis will be conducted using a series of options that are weighted according to their associated risks, costs, and benefits. Based on this analysis, recommendations for IC decision-makers will be made.



3.0 ISIL's Damage

ISIL's rise and takeover of vast swaths of land across Iraq and Syria has affected the region's way of life, cultural heritage, economy, and more. In addition, ISIL's infiltration across the globe has induced numerous casualties from terrorist attacks as well as major political shifts in western nations. This section will provide a brief overview of the net impacts ISIL has had on the world.

Land Capture

After declaring itself as a global caliphate in June of 2014, ISIL quickly captured cities and territory in northern Iraq, Libya, Nigeria, and Syria. Additionally, numerous offshoot branches of the terrorist group were established in Algeria, Egypt, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Yemen, the North Caucasus, Gaza, Chad, Niger, Cameroon, and elsewhere. Through means of war, persecution, and terrorist attacks; ISIL's land grabs have led to thousands of deaths throughout the world.



Casualties of War and Terrorism

According to U.K.-based non-profit Airwars, in over 827 days, there have been over 16,242 strikes initiated by coalition forces against ISIS.² Airwars has estimated the minimum civilian death count as a result of these aerial attacks to be over 1,841 as of November 14, 2016.⁵ Additionally, after military intervention against ISIL began, the group has suffered numerous casualties. As of August 2016, the U.S. military estimated 45,000 total ISIL fighters had been killed in Iraq and Syria and 300 in Afghanistan.^{3,4} On the coalition side, there have been over 4,230 security fighters killed

in battle.^{5,6} Over 17,000 civilians in Irag and Syria have been killed by ISIL.⁸

There have also been a number of terrorist incidents linked to ISIL throughout

the world. As of September 1, 2016, there has been 143 attacks in 29 countries that

have killed over 2,043 innocent civilians.7

http://www.reuters.com/article/us-afghanistan-usa-islamic-state-idUSKCN10L14B. ⁵ "Iraq 2015: A Catastrophic Normal." Iraq Body Count. January 1, 2016. Accessed November 14, 2016. https://www.iraqbodycount.org/analysis/numbers/2015/.

http://www.cnn.com/2015/12/17/world/mapping-isis-attacks-around-the-world/.



² "Airwars." Airwars. November 14, 2016. Accessed November 14, 2016. https://airwars.org/.

³ "45,000 ISIS fighters killed in past two years: US general". AFP. 10 August 2016. Retrieved 14 November 2016.

⁴ Miglani, Sanjeev. "U.S. Says 300 Islamic State Fighters Killed in Afghan Operation." Reuters, August 10, 2016. Accessed November 14, 2016.

⁶ "Egypt: ISIS Attack Kills 15 Security Personnel in North Sinai." The Indian Express, March 20, 2016. Accessed November 14, 2016.

http://indianexpress.com/article/world/world-news/egypt-isis-attack-kills-15-security-personnel-in-north-sinai/.

⁷ Lister, Tim, Ray Sanchez, Mark Bixler, Sean O'Key, Michael Michael Hogenmiller, and Mohammed Tawfeeq. "ISIS Goes Global: 143 Attacks in 29 Countries Have Killed 2,043." CNN, September 1, 2016. Accessed November 14, 2016.

Displacement

In addition to both civilian and military causalities, ISIL has displaced far more civilians through both land takeovers and war. Most notably, the displacement of over 7.6 million Syrian civilians and 3.3 million Iraqi civilians has been largely attributed to ISIL.⁸

Cultural Damage

ISIL has also destroyed many ancient historical artifacts and buildings as a form of 'cultural cleansing'. These have included mosques, shrines, churches, ancient and medieval sites, and libraries. In Syria, six UNESCO World Heritage sites have been either been damaged or destroyed since the start of the civil war.⁹

Economic Damage

In a World Bank article published in October of 2016, the direct and indirect economic effects of the Syrian war and the emergence of ISIL were quantitatively evaluated on the countries in the greater Levant region. The study found that Iraq and Syria has borne the brunt of economic decline, with direct and indirect economic

⁹ Thompson, Stephanie. "This Map Reveals the Full Extent of ISIS's Cultural Destruction." World Economic Forum. February 19, 2016. Accessed November 14, 2016. https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2016/02/this-map-reveals-the-full-extent-of-isis-s-cultural-destruction.



⁸ Crowcroft, Orlando. "Isis: Worst Refugee Crisis in a Generation as Millions Flee Islamic State in Iraq and Syria." International Business Times, June 17, 2015. Accessed November 14, 2016. http://www.ibtimes.co.uk/isis-worst-refugee-crisis-generationmillions-flee-islamic-state-iraq-syria-1506613.

losses totaling 28 and 23 percent, respectively.¹⁰ Additionally, Egypt was found to have suffered economic losses of 10 percent, while Jordan lost 9 percent in total economic output.¹³

Environmental Damage

ISIL has also waged war in the MENA region through environmental destruction. In October of 2016, NASA satellites captured imagery of white plumes escaping a large sulfur plant near Mosul, which was purposefully set on fire by ISIL.¹¹ Over 1,000 people were hospitalized and two people died as a result of breathing these sulfur fumes.¹² The group has also been responsible for setting oil wells on fire, which has filled the air with black carbon and toxic fumes.¹³ Also in 2016, ISIL opened up oil pipelines in towns where Iraqi security forces have raided, causing floods of crude oil to spill out into streets and the Tigris river.¹⁴ ISIL's version of environmental warfare is expected to have long-lasting consequences on Iraq's resources.

¹⁴ Plumer, Brad, and Yochi Dreazen. "In Iraq, the Environment Itself Has Once Again Become a Weapon of War." Vox. November 1, 2016. Accessed November 15, 2016. http://www.vox.com/2016/11/1/13481682/isis-mosul-oil-fires-sulfur.



¹⁰ Ianchovichina, Elena, and Maros Ivanic. "Economic Effects of the Syrian War and the Spread of the Islamic State on the Levant." The World Economy (2016).

¹¹ NASA. Earth Observatory. *Sulfur Dioxide Spreads Over Iraq.* November 02, 2016. Accessed November 15, 2016.

http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/NaturalHazards/view.php?id=88994&src=twitter-nh]. ¹² "Sulphur Cloud from Torched Plant Kills Two Iraqis." *Al Jazeera*, October 22, 2016. Accessed November 15, 2016. http://www.aljazeera.com/news/2016/10/sulphurcloud-torched-plant-kills-iraqis-161022152140398.html.

¹³ NASA. Earth Observatory. *Oil Fires in Iraq.* September 02, 2016. Accessed November 15, 2016.

http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/IOTD/view.php?id=88666&src=twitter-iotd.

4.0 ISIL'S Oil Resources

One of ISIL's primary sources of revenue has been through its occupation, control, and exploitation of oil and gas reserves across Iraq and Syria. ISIL's rise to power; ability to recruit; and capacity to wage war was significantly affected by its control over these energy resources.¹⁵

Economics

While many estimates vary, it's likely ISIL was producing between 34,000-

40,000 barrels per day and sold between \$20-45 USD at the wellhead in October of

2015.¹⁶ This is equivalent to generating revenues of over \$1.5 million per day. It's

estimated that ISIL controlled over 350 oil wells in Iraq at its peak.¹⁷ In 2014, Iraqi

¹⁷ Levitt, Matthew. "Terrorist financing and the Islamic State." *Testimony to the House Committee on Financial Services* 13 (2014).



¹⁵ Solomon, Erika, Guy Chazan, and Sam Jones. "Isis Inc: how oil fuels the jihadi terrorists." *Financial Times* 14 (2015).

¹⁶ Erika Solomon; Guy Chazan; Sam Jones (14 October 2015). "ISIS Inc: how oil fuels the jihadi terrorists". Financial Times. Retrieved 13 November 2016.

intelligence acquired information that revealed the organization was in possession of over \$2 billion in total assets, making it the world's wealthiest jihadist group.¹⁸

It's clear oil and gas has played a central role in ISIL's power and existence. According to the U.K. government, 40% of ISIL's revenues were coming from oil and gas at its peak.¹⁹ For coalition forces wishing to defeat ISIL, knocking out the resources that are critical towards ISIL's success has been an important strategic approach. Furthermore, the destruction ISIL has had on both the MENA region as well as the world gives coalition forces ample backing to decimate the terrorist group as soon as possible. However, there are a few considerations decision-makers have had to wrestle with to ensure the impacts in the region would be limited. Section 5.0 will provide an overview of the possible unintended consequences of aerial attacks on the oil and gas reserves ISIL is in control of.

¹⁹ Wintour, Patrick. "Oil Revenue Collapse Means Isis Reliant on Gulf Funds, Inquiry Hears." *The Guardian*, March 8, 2016. Accessed November 14, 2016.

https://www.theguardian.com/world/2016/mar/08/oil-revenue-collapse-isis-reliant-gulf-funds-inquiry-hears.



¹⁸ Moore, Jack (11 June 2014). "Mosul Seized: Jihadis Loot \$429m from City's Central Bank to Make ISIS World's Richest Terror Force". *International Business Times*. UK. Retrieved 13 November 2016.

5.0 Aerial Attack Impacts

Aerial attacks via drones and manned aircraft are often a preferred method of eliminating high-value targets by military leaders and decision-makers. However, while precision-guided munitions (PGMs) limit both collateral damage and risk to civilians, the consequences related to hitting oil and gas reserves may be more substantial. In particular, these effects involve both short-term risks to valuable resources, as well as long-term risks to the economic prosperity of the MENA region.

Environmental Impacts

In November of 2015, former deputy director of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), Michael Morell, stated on PBS's "Charlie Rose" the White House had been reluctant to bombing oil wells held by ISIL.²⁰ Morell claimed this was due to the possible long-term environmental and infrastructure damages bombings would induce. Instead, Morell inferred the targeting of oil trucks as a reasonable alternative.

²⁰ "Charlie Rose." Transcript. In *Charlie Rose*. Public Broadcasting Service. November 24, 2015.



"As ISIL continues to lose **territory**, it also continues to lose the **money** that is its lifeblood. As a result of our strikes against its oil infrastructure and supply lines, we believe that we've cut ISIL's **revenue** from oil by millions of dollars per month."

– President Obama June 14, 2016



If coalition forces were to strike the 350 oil wells held by ISIL, environmental damage in the region could become far worse than the contamination ISIL has already inflicted on the region. While attacks on industrial infrastructure, including oil wells, are illegal per the Geneva Conventions, there have been many instances where oil has been targeted in warfare.²¹ In many of these events, pollution has made post-conflict recovery more difficult and instability persist longer.

Air, soil, and water contamination can also inflict significant health effects on local populations. Burning oil releases toxic chemicals into the air. These can include sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, carbon monoxide, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and lead. Long-term exposure to these substances may lead to kidney disorders, liver problems, respiratory disorders, and cancer.

Alarmingly, many of ISIL's oil fields are located nearby the Tigris river in Syria's eastern Deir Ezzor province.²² Groundwater contamination can imperil agricultural production, as well as ground and surface water used for drinking and domestic purposes. Food and water insecurity could therefore be increased, which could perhaps induce further unrest and conflict in the region. However, it should also be

²² Solomon, Erika, Robin Kwong, and Steven Bernard. "Inside Isis Inc: The Journey of a Barrel of Oil." Financial Times. February 29, 2016. Accessed November 15, 2016. http://ig.ft.com/sites/2015/isis-oil/.



²¹ Zwijnenburg, Wim, and Annica Walejj. "Fire and Oil: The Collateral Environmental Damage of Airstrikes on ISIS Oil Facilities." *New Security Beat* (blog), January 13, 2016. Accessed November 15, 2016. https://www.newsecuritybeat.org/2016/01/fire-oil-collateral-damage-airstrikes-isis-oil-facilities/.

noted that before ISIL emerged in 2014, Syria and Iraq's environmental quality was already in poor condition.²³

Indirect Impacts

In addition to the potential environmental and health impacts induced by aerial attacks, Iraq and Syria would be highly susceptible to long-term decreased economic output. For example, the petroleum industry accounted for 25.1% of Syria's total revenue in 2010.²⁴ Between 2010-2014, Syria lost over 97.5 percent of its registered volume of oil production.²⁵ Knocking out all oil fields held by ISIL would make it considerably difficult for both Syria and Iraq to rebuild following the group's prospected defeat.

Additionally, attacks that disable critical infrastructure can have further hazards on important resources. When electrical power facilities were demolished in the Gulf War of 1991, water purification and sewage treatment plants shut down, which induced outbreaks of gastroenteritis, cholera, and typhoid. This led to an estimated 100,000 civilian fatalities.²⁶ These kinds of unintended effects are often geographically variant and unforeseeable. For this reason, the precautionary approach the White

 ²⁵ Syrian Economic Forum (2015). The Islamic State benefits from the regime's loss of 97.5% of Syria's oil production. Accessed at: http://www.syrianef.org/ En/?p=4179
²⁶ Fisk, Robert. *The great war for civilisation: The conquest of the Middle East*. Vintage, 2007.



 ²³ Zwijnenburg, Wim, and Kristine Te Pas. *Amidst the Debris: Environmental Impact of Conflict in Syria Could Be Disastrous*. Report. PAX For Peace. Colophon, 2015.
²⁴ IMF, Syria. "Staff Report for the 2009 Article IV Consultation." *Syrian Arab Republic* (2010).

House took may have been ethically correct. To determine whether this is the case, section 5.0 will analyze the choices policymakers had on ISIL's oil and gas reserves, to minimize the most amount of damage possible.



6.0 Analysis

In order to deduct the most viable option for the IC, its coalition partners, and White House policymakers, a decision matrix was constructed that accounts for the total amount of social, environmental, and economic value that would be destructed in the aftermath scenario of each choice.

Methodology

Variables were weighted according to their importance on a scale between 0-5. Next, the risked amount of damage inflicted upon each variable, according to each option, was scored between 0-10. These values were then multiplied across, resulting in a net score. The best option for decision-makers to choose from is the lowest net score.

The social values category is based on ISIL's forecasted ability to grab more land, kill more people, displace more civilians, and destroy more relics following each option's implementation. The environmental values category is based on both ISIL's ability to wage further environmental destruction as well as the risks of unintended environmental damage following each option's implementation. Lastly, the economic values category is based on both ISIL's further economic effect on the region as well as the risks of unintended economic damage following each option's implementation.



The first option, 'don't strike', is the IC's business-as-usual scenario. This would not involve any targeted strikes on ISIL's oil and gas reserves. The second option, 'strike', would involve the active deployment of PGMs directly towards oil and gas fields. The third option, 'alternative strike', would involve the active deployment of PGMs and/or aerial gunfire towards petroleum transportation vehicles, as opposed to oil and gas fields directly.

	Risked Damage Inflicted			
Option:	Social	Environmental	Economic	Total
Weights:	5	2	З	150
Don't Strike	10	4	6	76
Strike	6	9	7	69
Alternative Strike	8	2	4	56

Table 1.



7.0 Recommendations

Based on this paper's analysis, the best option for military and intelligence decision-makers to choose, would be to target ISIL's petroleum transportation vehicles. This option would pose 26 percent less risk towards the social, environmental, and economic elements of society in Iraq and Syria than not deploying any PGMs at all. The 'alternative strike' option also poses 18 percent less risk than deploying PGMs directly on oil and gas fields. It's therefore recommended that intelligence, military, and White House decision-makers go ahead with the direct bombing of ISIL's transportation vehicles containing oil and/or gas.



AN ANALYSIS OF THE THREAT OF ONTARIO'S HYDROELECTRIC DAMS ON ITS RIVER ECOSYSTEMS

SEPTEMBER 2016



AUTHOR NICHOLAS SCRIVENS



CDS PUBLICATIONS: REPORTS, RESEARCH, INSIGHTS

An analysis of the threat of Ontario's hydroelectric dams on its river ecosystems

Nicholas Scrivens University of Toronto September 2016 nicholas.scrivens@mail.utoronto.ca

Abstract

Dams have been used for centuries to assist with the development of human civilization. Access to drinking water, flood control and agricultural irrigation are historical reasons for the development of dams and river impoundments. The abundance of large rivers in Ontario has enabled 22% of the province's power generation to be based on renewable hydroelectric power. The composition of Ontario's energy portfolio is shifting away from carbon intensive energy production and towards hydroelectric power, which presents a threat to Ontario's rivers. What is the extent of the threat of storage and hydroelectric dams on the Ontario's river ecosystems given the growing hydroelectric capacity, and how might these effects be mitigated? Storage dams with large hydraulic head volumes and those with power generating capabilities are developed to serve and meet the needs of human civilization but also affect the naturally occurring ecosystem function. Dam development often results in a debate regarding the balance between civilization and the surrounding ecosystem. An analysis of previous research suggests that Ontario's extensive network of dams and river impoundment can alter the naturally occurring thermal regime, river flow, sediment and nutrient transport, fish communities and riparian zones of rivers. The continuous development of large hydroelectric dams and the use of hydropeaking to meet Ontario's electricity demand could further impair abiotic and biotic elements of the river ecosystem without the implementation of progressive mitigation strategies.

Keywords: hydroelectric dams, hydropeaking, Ontario, river, ecosystem

Introduction

Water storage, irrigation, power generation, flood mitigation and access to recreation are among the most common reasons for the development of dams globally, and this is no different in Ontario. There are more than 2600 dams in Ontario, which are concentrated in southern Ontario, where the majority of the population resides (Ontario, 2010). The majority of Ontario's dams are located in the watersheds of Ontario's Great Lakes Basin. The provincial government maintains an inventory of dams in Ontario, but because this is a recent undertaking not all dams in Ontario have been inventoried. Many of the dams are unmanaged in rural areas, and this contributes to the difficulty of maintaining an accurate inventory of Ontario's dams (Ontario, 2015). Figure 1 shows the location of Ontario's dams that are registered in the provincial inventory (Ontario, 2015). Dams are either considered storage or run-of-river dams. Run-of-river dams have short retention times, low head volume, very little control of flow and are generally small in scale. Storage dams have large hydraulic head volumes, long retention times, and control over water release (Poff & Hart, 2002; Chen *et al.*, 2011a). There is a combination of run-of-river and storage dams in Ontario, and both affect their associated river ecosystems (Smokorowski *et al.*, 2011).



Figure 1. Map of dams in Ontario that are registered in the Ontario Dam Inventory. Dams are represented by red marks (Ontario, 2015).

While dams and water impoundments were initially used for water access and irrigation, they were later used for power generation through waterwheels before the use of hydroelectric generators (Baxter 1977). The large hydraulic head volumes and controlled rate of release of storage dams enable their use for power generation. Access to freshwater river systems gives Ontario the opportunity to utilize hydroelectric dams as a renewable, and cost efficient contributor to power generation (Ontario Ministry of Energy, 2013). In 2013, 22% of Ontario's electricity was a result of hydroelectric sources and by 2025, this figure is projected to grow to 29%, which can be seen in Figure 2 (Ontario Ministry of Energy, 2013).



Figure 2. Composition of the sources of Ontario's energy production in 2013 (left) and projection for 2025 (right) (Ontario Ministry of Energy, 2013).

Hydro contributes to more than half of Ontario's renewable energy portfolio, and it is their lowest cost power generator (Ontario Ministry of Energy, 2013). There are 250 operating water power facilities in Ontario, some of which are privately owned, but the majority are owned and operated by Ontario Power Generation, a corporation that is owned by the province (Ontario Ministry of Energy, 2013). In 2007, there were 60 sites that were proposed for hydroelectric dams in Ontario's Great Lakes Basin, further emphasising hydropower as a growing power generation source in Ontario for the future (Melles *et al.*, 2015). Hydroelectric dams will release water through their turbines selectively, depending on the changing demand for electricity in a process called hydropeaking. Hydropeaking is cost efficient for the power generating companies, however it generates negative externalities for the surrounding river ecosystem because of the constantly changing water flows (Chen *et al.*, 2015). The rate of change of water flow in a hydropeaking dam is referred to as the ramping rate. Since 2001, provincial law has required dam operators to develop an operating plan that identifies minimum and maximum flows and ramping rate restrictions for each dam (Chen *et al.*, 2015; Metcalfe *et al.*, 2005). These Water Management Plans are agreements or contracts that are made between power generating companies and the Ministry of Natural Resources. The aim of this contract is to balance the economic incentive of water power generation with the environmental and societal protection of the river ecosystem. There is a Water Management Planning Guideline, which is an aid for the development of the management plans (Metcalfe *et al.*, 2005). However, the environmental component of this guide is an appendix, which illustrates the limited inclusion of the environment in the development of the province's Water Management Plans. A map of Ontario's hydroelectric dams in 2005 that undertook a Water Management Plans can be seen in Figure 3 (Metcalfe *et al.*, 2005). On a global scale, these water restrictions and management plans are ineffective in maintaining natural ecosystem function (Chen *et al.*, 2015).



Figure 3. Map of the hydroelectric dams in Ontario that are currently included in a Water Management Plan (Metcalfe *et al.,* 2005).

Restrictions to minimum and maximum flows and ramping rates address the quantity of water traversing the dam. These restrictions do not however, place an emphasis on the water quality, which can cause many abiotic and biotic changes to the river ecosystem (Olden & Naiman, 2010). The effects of river impoundment have been well studied and is not a novel field for ecologists and environmental scientists (Baxter 1977; Poff & Hart, 2002). A considerable amount of time and resources have been devoted to understanding how fish communities and distribution of populations is affected by the imposition of physical barriers (Haxton & Findlay, 2009; Smokorowski et al., 2011). Depending on the size of the dam and the nature of the fish community, dams can become barriers to migration creating fragmented habitats (Yellen & Boutt 2015). The effects of dams on river ecosystems are more far reaching than immediate habitat destruction and the creation of a physical barrier. There is expanding literature on the water quality changes as a result of dam development and the associated implications for the river ecosystem (Olden & Naiman, 2010; Cassie et al., 2006). Naturally occurring thermal fluctuation can be both daily and seasonal, depending on solar radiation, geothermal and groundwater interactions (Olden & Naiman, 2010). Dams and river impoundments are an anthropocentric cause of thermal pollution that depends on the mechanism of water release and the size of the reservoir (Cassie, 2006). Selective water release from reservoirs can deviate from the natural flow regime of the river. This deviation is magnified in rivers where hydropeaking operations are in place (Olden & Naiman, 2010). Changes to the natural flow patterns have been shown to affect the life cycle of many species of fish and invertebrates (Chen et al., 2015). Natural periods of high and low flows are also important for creating natural disturbances, and maintaining riparian zone habitats (Nadon et al., 2015; Graf, 2006). Furthermore, research has focused on the the transport of nutrients and sediment through river systems and how this can be affected by impoundment (Smokorowski et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2011a; Chen et al., 2011b). All of these implications are not isolated and can function synergistically or antagonistically, but often generate cumulative negative effects for the ecosystem.

Every river has a unique set of biotic and abiotic factors, and dams will affect river ecosystems differently depending on the type of dam and particular environmental characteristics. Although the amount of research on the effects of hydroelectric dams in Ontario's rivers is not extensive, it can be used in combination with global research to assist in predicting how Ontario river ecosystems are and will be affected by future hydroelectric power generation. As a result, some of the analysis and conclusions made about the effects of hydroelectric dams in Ontario is based on research from other jurisdictions. An analysis of previously published research on the effects of large storage dams and hydropeaking on river ecosystems, as well as the mitigation strategies, will help in evaluating the threat of Ontario's growing hydroelectric capacity its rivers.

Analysis and Discussion

Hydroelectric dams have proven to be a cost effective strategy for energy generation in Ontario, but the environmental costs associated with the operation of these dams must also be taken into consideration. An analysis of the effects of hydroelectric dams on the thermal regime, river flow, and sediment and nutrients, in addition to the effects of hydropeaking on riparian ecology, fish communities, and hyporheic water exchange will expose these environmental externalities. The subsequent evaluation of mitigation strategies will provide methods in which the environmental costs of hydropower generation in Ontario can be reduced.

Thermal Regime

The thermal regime is important to any river because it can be the primary driver of many ecosystems energy flows. Water temperature dictates overall ecosystem health by influencing productivity and species growth (Caissie *et al.*, 2006). The optimal growth for flora and fauna occurs within a specific temperature range. Species however, must adapt to daily fluctuations in water temperatures, and Ontario's climate leads to seasonal fluctuation. Water temperature is influenced by interactions at the surface and at the riverbed (Olden & Naiman, 2010). At the surface, solar radiation, precipitation, evaporation and runoff all contribute to the temperature

of the water (Olden & Naiman, 2010). Geothermal energy and groundwater intrusion affect the thermal energy of a river from the riverbed (Olden & Naiman, 2010). A representation of the energy sources that naturally contribute to the river's thermal regime can be seen in Figure 4. The temperature of river water however, is not only dictated by the energy fluxes. Water's high heat capacity means that the volume of water and the rate of water flow can influence water temperature in rivers. Water temperature not only influences primary production and species growth, but it also affects the solubility and transport of pollution, organic matter, nutrients, sediment and dissolved oxygen in the river (Olden & Naiman, 2010). Water temperature can dictate the reproduction, growth, distribution and life history events of many species (Olden & Naiman, 2010). It is evident that water temperature and the thermal regime are important for the functioning of a river from a species and ecosystem level. Large artificial reservoirs that are created for hydroelectric potential are subject to the same thermal fluxes outlined above. The release of water from these artificial reservoirs can therefore change the thermal regime of a river.



Figure 4. Factors affecting the thermal properties of a river or stream (Olden et al. 2010).

The long residence time of water in large reservoirs leads to the water being exposed to thermal energy, for example solar radiation and geothermal energy, for a longer period of time.

If the reservoir is relatively deep, which is true for most hydroelectric dams, the possibility of thermal energy leading to reservoir stratification is increased (Olden & Naiman, 2010). The climate in Ontario and its seasons means that the majority of large reservoirs would be dimictic, resulting in stratification with two periods of mixing (Melles et al., 2015). In the summer, the epilimnetic layer at the surface of the reservoir is warmer than the hypolimnetic water. The reverse would occur in the winter, where warmer water, close to 4° C, would be found at the bottom, while water that is close to freezing temperature would be found at the surface. The release mechanism of the reservoir can influence the downstream thermal regime due to this stratification process (Olden & Naiman, 2010). In the summer, cold hypolimnetic water is often released from dams in order to maintain productive recreational fisheries upstream of the dam (Olden & Naiman, 2010). When cold hypolimnetic water is released it depresses downstream river temperatures in Ontario's summer months and increases river temperatures in the winter, compared to natural conditions (Olden & Naiman, 2010). The changes to a river's thermal regime as a result of hypolimnetic release can be seen in Figure 5. While this dam is in the United States, it represents the potential influences of hypolimnetic release on Ontario's rivers. When epilimnetic water is released from reservoirs in the summer, this leads to an increased downstream water temperature (Olden & Naiman, 2010). Six separate studies of the thermal depression of rivers as a result of hypolimnetic release show temperature depression ranging from 3 to 12 degrees Celsius during the summer months compared to similar unregulated rivers (Olden & Naiman, 2010). While these studies were not conducted in Ontario, it does show the significant effect of water release on the river's thermal regime.



Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec

Figure 5. The temperature of the Green River, USA, below the hypolimnetic releasing dam (open symbols) and of the river prior to regulation (filled symbols) over an entire year (Olden & Naiman, 2010).

Water temperature is an important component for river ecosystems because many aquatic species rely on temperature for suitable habitat and for driving life history events. Thermal habitats are necessary for certain species of fish, invertebrates and other ectotherms (Olden & Naiman, 2010). Warmer water in the winter can be particularly harmful for some insect fauna that rely on prolonged exposure to near freezing water. The warm water can cause early insect emergence which can interfere with the natural period of growth that generally occurs in the spring and the summer. Premature insect emergence can be lethal or can impede mating, devastating insect populations (Olden & Naiman, 2010). Some species of freshwater insects rely on varying thermal conditions for different life processes. Life history events, such as spawning, are often timed with the natural fluctuation in the river's thermal regime for some insects and fish (Olden & Naiman, 2010). When hypolimnetic release from reservoirs dampens the natural fluctuation in river temperature it can reduce the reproduction and spawning ability of fish populations. There is a natural temperature window and threshold for fish spawning and changes to the river's thermal regime could cause reproductive complications (Olden & Naiman, 2010). Delayed spawning because of a shift in the temperature dependent spawning window combined with cold water that limits the growth of the juvenile could reduce the survival of the fish population (Olden & Naiman, 2010).

Flow Regimes

One of the most obvious implications of dams and river impoundments is the resulting change to the flow of water through the river system. In most cases the intended purpose of dams is to divert water, whether it be for flood control or power generation. Run-of-river dams affect the flow regimes of a river to a lesser extent than storage dams, because generally in run-of river dams the inflows are equal to the outflows (Metcalfe *et al.*, 2005). In contrast, the outflow in large storage dams can be controlled. This is particularly noticeable in peaking hydroelectric dams that regulate the rate of flow from the storage reservoir to match demands in electricity.

10

The control of flow through a large storage dam is based on several factors including: reservoir inflows, the storage of water, and the downstream release of water (Metcalfe et al., 2005). This regulation of river flows can change properties of the river ecosystem and the magnitude of this affect can depend on the type of the dam and the size of the water power generating facility (Metcalfe et al., 2005). The natural flow regime of a river, which is the flow characteristics of an unregulated river, is considered to be one of the most important elements of the ecosystem and alterations to this natural flow through rivers is a global concern (Bond & Jones, 2015). Large storage dams and water power facilities can disrupt the natural flow regime by changing the magnitude, duration, rate of change and the frequency of flows through a river system. Academic research was originally focused on the upstream effects of dam construction, but this quickly shifted to the study of the downstream implications of altered flow regimes on the health of rivers (Metcalfe et al., 2005). The natural flow of rivers can be highly variable in Ontario because of seasonal differences in precipitation and the physical state of the precipitation. Seasonally, the river flows are lowest in the winter months because large volumes precipitation and water in the form of either snow or ice and are restricted from entering the river systems. River flows are most consistently high in the spring when the snow and ice melt and enter rivers through groundwater or runoff. In the summer and fall, river flows are maintained though direct rainfall, runoff, or groundwater contributions (Bond & Jones, 2015) The unpredictable element of river flows in Ontario is attributed to climate patterns, which can bring short or extended periods of rainfall and periods of drought as well (Bond & Jones, 2015; Metcalfe et al., 2005).

This natural variation in river flows, which is an important element of maintaining ecosystem health is affected by large storage dams. A study by Graf (2006) of the effects of dams on the flows of rivers in the United States documented several significant alterations in flow regimes. The study evaluated river flows of reaches downstream of 36 large storage dams, with storage capacity greater than 1.2 km³, compared to similar unregulated reaches on the same river or nearby similar rivers. In the regulated reaches annual peak discharge was reduced by 67% (Graf, 2006). The ratio of maximum flow to minimum flow decreased by 60%, the range of daily discharges decreased by 64% and the timing of seasonal high and low flows periods changed by

11

up to half a year (Graf, 2006). The size of downstream water channels is also significantly affected by large dam regulation. Low flow channels are generally larger and high flow channels are smaller in regulated reaches (Graf, 2006). The unregulated variation and fluctuation in flows is dampened by the presence of upstream large storage dams and this can affect the downstream fish communities and riparian zone ecology, which will be discussed later. These findings are shared with a study by Smokorowski *et al.*, of regulated river flows in a river in Ontario (2011). The Magpie River in Wawa, Ontario, was regulated by a hydroelectric dam in 1990 and now experiences attenuated flows as a result (Smokorowski *et al.*, 2011). Figure 6 shows how the unregulated river had a seasonal cycle of high flow and low flow periods, while the regulated river experiences attenuated flows (Smokorowski *et al.*, 2011).



Figure 6. The flows of the Magpie River in Wawa, Ontario, before (a) and after (b) regulation by Steephills Water Power Facility (Smokorowski *et al.*, 2011).

Sediment and Nutrients

Dams and river impoundments alter the abiotic environment by disrupting the flow of sediment and nutrients through the river ecosystem. As water flows in a river system it carries sediment and nutrients such as organic matter, carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus. These organic and inorganic elements can be used as aquatic ecosystem indicators because their chemical composition varies depending on various environmental factors (Nadon et al., 2015). Sediment that is suspended within the water column will eventually accumulate in the riparian zone, at the bottom of a stagnant basin and underneath waterfall features, among other regions of the river (Nadon et al., 2015). These areas of sediment accumulation are naturally occurring and can erode in a similar way to terrestrial erosion (Chen et al., 2011a). When a large storage dam is constructed in the river, it creates a fragmented flow of water and stagnant reservoirs. As the water spends an increased amount of time in the reservoir, the sediment is subjected to the force of gravity, which leads to its accumulation at the bottom of the reservoir (Chen et al., 2011a). This leads to an increase of sediment build up in the upstream reservoir compared to the downstream riverbed. Furthermore, the dams can influence the downstream transport of sediment through the changes to river flow patterns. Sediment that has accumulated on the riverbed can be eroded and transported downstream with the water current, depending on the rate of flow in the river and the sediment transport threshold (Chen et al., 2011a). If the rate of flow is less than the sediment transport threshold, sediment will remain accumulated on the riverbed and will not become suspended in the water column. Sediment dispersal and erosion is an important element of benthic diversity. Disturbances to the riverbed are a critical element of benthic community dynamics and the lack of sediment transport and erosion from the riverbed can lead to a reduction of benthic diversity (Smokorowski et al., 2011). Sediment accumulation and transportation can be influenced both upstream and downstream of dams. This influence can be based on the size and residence time of the reservoir as well as the downstream rate of flow. The further development of large hydroelectric dams in Ontario and the control of flow rates will likely have an effect on the sediment and benthic diversity in the newly regulated rivers.

Through the fragmentation of river flows and attenuation of water level fluctuations, dams can disturb the flow of nutrients through the ecosystem. Dissolved organic matter is an important element of the river ecosystem as it represents the metabolic foundation of much of the food web (Smokorowski et al., 2011). Much of the organic matter that becomes dissolved in a river is from vegetation and other sources on the shorelines (Smokorowski et al., 2011). Water current carries the dissolved organic matter through the river system providing a metabolic source for the base of the ecosystems food web. Dam regulated rivers generally have attenuated flows, which reduces the variability in water levels and the frequency of shoreline flooding periods (Metcalfe et al., 2005). The rapid fluctuations in water level which occur in the natural flow regime of a river are essential for flushing organic matter from the shoreline into the river reach (Smokorowski et al., 2011). In the absence of these river level fluctuations, organic matter builds up on the shorelines and is less accessible as a nutrient source for the river community. In rivers regulated by large storage dams, the shoreline and riparian zone is flooded less frequently. This long term disconnect from the riparian zone reduces the nutrient and organic matter inputs into the river. There are however constant fluctuations in water level of the wetted perimeter, which is expected to increase the flushing of nutrients and organic matter into the river reach (Nadon et al., 2015). A study of 34 rivers in northern Ontario showed that there was a non-significant difference in the distribution of nutrients in a regulated river compared to unregulated rivers (Nadon et al., 2015). The rivers included in the study were in areas of low agricultural activity and had similar watershed characteristics. The results from this study in northern Ontario are consistent with previously published research and suggest that the influence of dams on dissolved organic matter are either negligible or they are short-term and rapidly attenuated downstream (Nadon et al., 2015; Ellis & Jones 2013). While research on effects of river regulation on the longitudinal distribution of dissolved organic matter is limited, and some studies identify a non-significant influence, this could be different in rivers that are more anthropocentrically disturbed (Nadon et al., 2015; Ellis & Jones 2013). A different study has shown that a course particulate organic matter gradient exists downstream of dams, which decreases with distance from the dam (Bond, 2015). The

14

distribution of organic matter downstream of large storage dams is likely dependent on a number of factors that are unique to each river and dam.

There are several other nutrients which can be affected as a result of large storage dams in Ontario. Carbon and nitrogen are often studied as indicators in most ecosystems, while phosphorus is an important element in aquatic ecosystems because of its influence on eutrophication. There is often concern related to the inconsistent distribution of nutrients as a result of disrupted river flow (Smokorowski et al., 2011). Large reservoirs are known to affect the carbon cycle by reducing the amount of carbon through respiration, oxidation and photolysis that occurs within the river impoundment (Smokorowski et al., 2011). This however does not necessarily translate to a change in the carbon signature in the upstream and downstream river reaches when compared to unregulated rivers. This suggests that carbon, from detritus and prey, are still entering the river reach. The carbon signatures are variable as a result of the selective consumption and feeding (Smokorowski et al., 2011). The study of 34 northern Ontario rivers by Nadon et al., reported a higher concentration of nitrogen and phosphorus in unregulated rivers (2015). This is consistent with other research and could be a result of the smaller rivers, characterized by channel size, having increased nutrient retention (Nadon *et al.,* 2015). The low flow channels are larger in regulated rivers which could reduce the nutrient retention of nitrogen and phosphorus, among other nutrients that are important for river community dynamics.

Downstream effects of hydropeaking

Hydropeaking has become a cost effective strategy for hydroelectric managers to supply electricity to a growing population in Ontario (Ontario Ministry of Energy, 2013). As discussed above, large storage dams have downstream consequences because of flow alterations. Hydropeaking further modifies the flow regime by creating a highly unnatural, flow patterns. Demand for energy in Ontario fluctuates throughout the day and on a seasonal time scale (Ontario Waterpower Association, 2014). Demand is minimal overnight and gradually increases throughout the daytime, and this trend is consistent for the entire year. In the winter however, there are generally two peaks of electricity demand, the first is from 8-11 AM and the second is in the evening at roughly 6 PM. In the summer months, energy demand increases gradually

15

throughout the day and begins to decrease around 4 PM. The trends of electricity demand in Ontario during the summer and winter months and the flexibility of Ontario's hydroelectric dams is shown in Figure 6 (Ontario Waterpower Association, 2014). This demand for electricity could be sustained throughout the day in the summer because of the use of air conditioning systems. There may not be a peak demand in the evening because of prolonged sunlight hours. The demand for electricity is not consistent throughout the day and as a result it is more efficient for hydroelectric dams to regulate their flow and power generation to match the population's demand for electricity.



Figure 6. The average demand for electricity during a summer and winter month in Ontario throughout the day (Top). The power generation from hydroelectric dams throughout the day to match the

electricity demand in an average day in January and May (Bottom) (Ontario Waterpower Association, 2014).

The fluctuating flow through the turbines of a hydropeaking dam considerably affects the downstream current and water level, in many of Ontario's rivers. The water level upstream and downstream of a hydropeaking dam on the Magpie River in Ontario can be seen in Figure 7 (Nadon *et al.*, 2015). The upstream variation in water level is negligible, while downstream of the dam there are noticeable fluctuations in water level because of the changing flow through the dam to match energy demand. This unnatural fluctuation constrains riparian zones, fish communities and affects the hyporheic water exchange of the river (Nadon et al., 2015; Chen *et al.*, 2011b).



Figure 7. The water level upstream (left) and downstream (right) of the the hydropeaking dam in the Magpie River. The time scale, shown on the downstream and upstream hydrographs is the same (Nadon *et al.*, 2015).

Fish Community

Fish community dynamics upstream and downstream of dams is largely dependent on the nature of the dam and the species within the community (Dauffresne *et al.,* 2015). There are difficulties in attempting to assess fish communities' response to flow regimes because of the need for long-term data, the issues in quantifying fish communities in large rivers and the local and global variables that influence community dynamics (Dauffresne *et al.,* 2015). Despite these difficulties, the effect of dams on fish communities has been well studied and fish diversity is often less in a dam regulated river (Smokorowski *et al.,* 2011; Jackson et al., 2001).

Dams create a physical barrier that prevents the migration of fish, but there are other factors associated with dams that influence fish communities. Phytoplankton provides the metabolic base for many fish communities and therefore if there is a change in plankton biomass as a result of altered river flow, fish communities can be affected (Smokorowski et al., 2011). The reservoir that is developed from the construction of large hydropeaking dams often contains high quantities of plankton which can result in a favourable source of food for fish immediately downstream of the reservoir (Smokorowski et al., 2011). A gradient exists where there is a higher fish density and biomass closer to the dam and this decreases further downstream (Bond & Jones, 2015). The daily fluctuations also create a vertical gradient in water column (Bond & Jones, 2015). The fluctuating water level downstream of hydropeaking dams lead to a decrease in fish density in the varial zones that alternate between being submerged and exposed (Bond & Jones, 2015). The mean fish density in the areas of the river that are consistently submerged is four times greater than the density within the varial zones of the downstream river reaches (Bond & Jones, 2015). Fluctuations in flow and the reduced shallow water habitat because of the low flow periods can threaten fish communities by limiting the suitable habitat for juveniles. This is especially threatening for the juveniles of less dominant fish species that must compete for habitat space (Smokorowski et al., 2011). A study of two rivers in Ontario, the Magpie (altered by hydropeaking dam) and Batchawana (unregulated) rivers, showed a reduction of fish diversity in the altered river (Smokorowski et al., 2011). The reduced habitat availability for juveniles of non-dominant species could be a contributing factor to this reduced diversity, as these non-dominant species could be outcompeted and forced to find habitat elsewhere. There is evidence that the effects of dam construction on fish community dynamics is not persistent and can be reversed with river flow restoration (Dauffresne et al., 2015).

Riparian Zone

The riparian zone is the interface between the aquatic and terrestrial components of a river reach. The riparian zone plays an important role in the river ecosystem as they regulate water quality, provide habitat for some species, they are a source of primary production and can act as a buffer for flooding (Graf, 2006). The ecosystem goods and services that are inextricably

18

linked to riparian zones are closely interconnected with dams. The unnatural river flow and water level created by hydropeaking dams have direct impacts on riparian ecology (Chen et al., 2015). The periods of low flow, during non-peak energy demand periods places an increased amount of stress on the riparian zones (Chen *et al.*, 2015). The vegetation in riparian zones may be adapted to succeed in a habitat with prolonged flooding events, and when hydropeaking dams are in operation, downstream floods are less common (Chen et al., 2015). Furthermore, the frequent low flow periods decrease the water level, reducing water saturation of the riparian zone. This provides an opportunity for the recruitment of new riparian plants (Chen et al., 2015). When riparian zones are used less frequently as flood plains because of hydropeaking river regulation, the productivity and geomorphic complexity of these habitats is reduced (Graf, 2006). The geomorphic complexity is a measurement of functional surfaces within a habitat per unit of distance (Graf, 2006). The added stress created by the flow changes in riparian habitat has been shown to reduce the diversity, survival and reproductive success of riparian flora and fauna (Chen et al., 2015). The riparian zone acts as a filter for the river by acting as a trap for sediment and nutrients. When riparian zones are flooded less frequently because of river regulation there may be a reduction in the amount of sediment that is returned to the river (Nadon et al., 2015). The riparian zones are important and productive river habitats as they provide numerous ecosystems goods and services, which are directly affected and will continue to be affected by the increasing use of hydropeaking dams in Ontario to meet power demands.

Hyporheic Water Exchange

The hydrological characteristics of a river are often dependent on the flow of water and the surrounding groundwater. A river is typically in a constant state of gaining or losing water volume, especially within the same season (Yellen & Boutt, 2015). This is a direct result of the groundwater and river flows dynamics in the hyporheic region (Yellen & Boutt, 2015). In the summer months in Ontario, evapotranspiration is high leading to the water table being lower. In these situations, water can be lost from the river to the surrounding groundwater. At peak times of evapotranspiration up to 10% of the water in a river can be lost every 20 km as a result

19

of a river losing water in the hyporheic region (Yellen & Boutt, 2015). Alternatively, in periods of low flow, water can move from the groundwater to the river creating a gaining situation (Yellen & Boutt, 2015). When a hydropeaking dam results in the continuous fluctuation of water level and flows, the river can be losing water when the water table is lower than the river level, and gaining water when river flow is lower than the water table. The seasonal relationship between the river water and the groundwater in the hyporheic region is represented in Figure 8. Depending on the hydropeaking dam operation the alternating between a gaining and losing river situation can occur several times in one day. This not only affects the volume of water within the river but can disturb many important processes, such as elements of the nitrogen, phosphorus and carbon cycles (Yellen & Boutt, 2015). These cycles are mediated and housed within the hyporheic region of the river (Yellen & Boutt, 2015).



Figure 8. The seasonal hyporheic exchange of a regulated and unregulated river. In the unregulated river (on the left), the water table drops in the summer and low flows result in groundwater flowing into

the river. In the regulated river (on the right), unnatural high flows cause some water to be lost to the groundwater from the river (Yellen & Boutt, 2015).

Mitigation Strategies

The considerable amount of research that has been devoted to the effects of large storage dams and hydroelectric dams on river ecosystems points to several biotic and abiotic consequences. As Ontario shifts away from a carbon intensive energy portfolio, there will be continued consideration for the construction of water power facilities and the regulation of Ontario's rivers. Energy providers and the provincial governments should work towards developing and implementing strategies to reduce the negative effects of dam operations on the river ecosystems. Much of the research on mitigation strategies concern the restoration of natural river flows, the implementation of minimum flows and ramping rate restrictions. The effects of dam operation on rivers are not isolated and there can be ecosystem wide implications. When assessing the value of mitigation strategies, an Ecological Network Analysis (ENA) should be used to more accurately model the flow of materials through the entire ecosystem (Chen et al, 2010; Chen et al., 2011b). Risk can be incorporated within the ENA and be treated as a material flow, this allows for the modeling of how risks associated with dams can be transferred within the entire ecosystem. Risks and materials that are accumulated within the ecosystem can be classified into the five levels of the ENA model which can be seen in Figure 9 (Chen et al., 2010). The cumulative effects of dam operation on the river can be visualized and represented using the ENA, which makes it a valuable tool for dam management (Chen et al., 2010).

Ontario's provincial government requires that a minimum flow be incorporated into the management plan of every dam. There has been a movement towards increasing the minimum flow requirements in an attempt to reduce the negative environmental effects of dam operation. Minimum flows improve the habitat conditions for invertebrates by increasing the heterogeneity of the habitat (Smokorowski *et al.,* 2011). Many of the negative effects of hydroelectric dam operation can be attributed to the changes made to the flow regime of the river (Smokorowski *et al.,* 2011). For this reason, dam management has begun shifting towards a natural flow state. This management strategy is not based on minimum flows, but tries to

21

mimic the natural variability of the river flow (Smokorowski *et al.*, 2011). The problems relating to ramping rates are specific to hydropeaking dams. The up-ramping periods leading up to peak demand create unnatural changes in rates of flow. Limitations to the ramping rate can reduce the scouring of the riverbed and preserve the physical environment (Smokorowski *et al.*, 2011).



Figure 9. The Ecological Network Analysis framework for dam operation that includes risk flows (Chen *et al.,* 2010).

Increasing minimum flow, reducing ramping rates, and restoring natural flow systems have proven to be effective mitigation strategies (Smokorowski *et al.*, 2011; Dauffresne *et al.*, 2015).

Constrained dam operations have been used in Ontario and have proven to be successful at mitigating some of the risks associated with hydropower dam operation. The Magpie River's hydrograph is dissimilar to an unregulated river's hydrograph, but several of the biotic factors, including fish biomass, fish condition, invertebrate density and food web length, remained unchanged (Smokorowski *et al.*, 2011). Furthermore, there is evidence that flow restoration can reverse the original negative effects of hydroelectric dam operation on the dynamics of fish communities (Dauffresne *et al.*, 2015). This research on mitigation strategies provides hope that the negative effects of increasing hydroelectric energy capacity on Ontario's river ecosystems can be minimized.

Conclusion and Future Directions

An increasing population and energy intensive economy in Ontario has led to the exploitation of rivers for the generation of hydroelectric power. Hydropeaking has been used in water power facilities to more efficiently meet Ontario's fluctuating energy demand. The regulation of river flows leads to unnatural changes to the thermal and flow regimes. Furthermore, hydropeaking dams affect the nutrient and sediment dispersal, fish community dynamics and riparian zone ecology. The effects of hydroelectric dams and hydropeaking on river ecosystems should not be ignored as research of the negative implications discussed above is convincing. Flow restoration and the Ecological Network Analysis models used as mitigation strategies for the negative effects of dam operation are promising however, there is a need for the development of more precautionary dam management strategies. Further research should be devoted to the effect of differing ramping rates on the downstream river ecosystem because there will be an increasing pressure for the use of aggressive ramping rates to meet energy demands in hydropeaking dams. Research should also focus on the effectiveness of flow restrictions in dam operation as mitigation strategies. The effects of hydropeaking dams on river ecosystems in Ontario will continue to be a topic of controversy because of the province's desire to shift more towards "green" energy. If dam management uses an ecosystems based approach and research is focused on the evaluation of mitigation strategies, there is a possibility of striking a balance between the river ecosystems and Ontario's power demand.

23

References

- BAXTER, R. (1977). Environmental effects of dams and impoundments. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 8, 255-283.
- Bond, M. J., & Jones, N. E. (2015). Spatial distribution of fishes in hydropeaking tributaries of Lake Superior. River Research and Applications, 31(1), 120-133.
- Caissie, D. (2006). The thermal regime of rivers: A review. Freshwater Biology, 51(8), 1389-1406.
- Chen, Q., Zhang, X., Chen, Y., Li, Q., Qiu, L., & Liu, M. (2015). Downstream effects of a hydropeaking dam on ecohydrological conditions at subdaily to monthly time scales. Ecological Engineering, 77, 40-50.
- Chen, S., Chen, B., & Su, M. (2011a). The cumulative effects of dam project on river ecosystem based on multi-scale ecological network analysis. International Workshop from the International Congress on Environmental Modeling and Software (lemss2010), 5, 12-17.
- Chen, S., Fath, B. D., & Chen, B. (2010). Ecological risk assessment of hydropower dam construction based on ecological network analysis. International Conference on Ecological Informatics and Ecosystem Conservation (Iseis 2010), 2, 725-728.
- Chen, S., Fath, B., Chen, B., & Su, M. (2011b). Evaluation of the changed properties of aquatic animals after dam construction using ecological network analysis. International Workshop from the International Congress on Environmental Modeling and Software (Iemss2010), 5, 114-119.
- Daufresne, M., Veslot, J., Capra, H., Carrel, G., Poirel, A., Olivier, J., et al. (2015). Fish community dynamics (1985-2010) in multiple reaches of a large river subjected to flow restoration and other environmental changes. Freshwater Biology, 60(6), 1176-1191.
- Ellis, L. E., & Jones, N. E. (2013). Longitudinal trends in regulated rivers: A review and synthesis within the context of the serial discontinuity concept. Environmental Reviews, 21, 136+.
- Graf, W. L. (2006). Downstream hydrologic and geomorphic effects of large dams on american rivers. Geomorphology, 79(3-4), 336-360.
- Haxton, T. J., & Findlay, C. S. (2009). Variation in large-bodied fish-community structure and abundance in relation to water-management regime in a large regulated river. Journal of Fish Biology, 74(10), 2216-2238.

- Jackson, D., Peres-Neto, P., & Olden, J. (2001). What controls who is where in freshwater fish communities the roles of biotic, abiotic, and spatial factors. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 58(1), 157-170.
- Melles, S. J., Chu, C., Alofs, K. M., & Jackson, D. A. (2015). Potential spread of great lakes fishes given climate change and proposed dams: An approach using circuit theory to evaluate invasion risk. Landscape Ecology, 30(5), 919-935.
- Metcalfe, R. A., Chang, C., & Smakhtin, V. (2005). Tools to support the implementation of environmentally sustainable flow regimes at ontario's waterpower facilities. Canadian Water Resource Journal, 30(2), 97-97-110.
- Nadon, M. J., Metcalfe, R. A., Williams, C. J., Somers, K. M., & Xenopoulos, M. A. (2015). Assessing the effects of dams and waterpower facilities on riverine dissolved organic matter composition. Hydrobiologia, 744(1), 145-164.
- Olden, J. D., & Naiman, R. J. (2010). Incorporating thermal regimes into environmental flows assessments: Modifying dam operations to restore freshwater ecosystem integrity. Freshwater Biology, 55(1), 86-107.
- Ontario. Land Information Ontario Metadata Management Tool. (2015). Ontario Dam Inventory. https://www.javacoeapp.lrc.gov.on.ca/geonetwork/srv/en/main.home [accessed November 15, 2015]
- Ontario Ministry of Energy. (2013). Achieving balance: Ontario's long-term energy plan. Toronto, ON, CAN: Ontario Ministry of Energy.
- Ontario. Ministry of Natural Resources. (2010). Ontario's enhanced dam management program key messages & frequently asked questions [Peterborough, Ont. : Ministry of Natural Resources, 2010].
- Ontario Waterpower Association. (2014). Evaluation and assessment of Ontario's waterpower potential final report: Prepared for Ontario Waterpower Association and Ministry of Natural Resources. In prepared by Hatch Acres. (Ed.), 2005 Hatch Acres].
- Poff, N., & Hart, D. (2002). How dams vary and why it matters for the emerging science of dam removal. Bioscience, 52(8), 659-668.
- Smokorowski, K. E., Metcalfe, R. A., Finucan, S. D., Jones, N., Marty, J., Power, M., et al. (2011). Ecosystem level assessment of environmentally based flow restrictions for maintaining ecosystem integrity: A comparison of a modified peaking versus unaltered river. Ecohydrology, 4(6), 791-806.

Yellen, B., & Boutt, D. F. (2015). Hydropeaking induces losses from a river reach: Observations at multiple spatial scales. Hydrological Processes, 29(15), 3261-3275.